| The Standa   | rdized Progra  | m Eva  | aluation   | Proto  | col (SPEP <sup>TM</sup> ):  |   |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| Service Score Resi   |  |  |  |  | TM ID and Contact Time:   | 0366-A01  |
| Agency/Program Name  | : Bureau of Juvenile Justic  | ce Services  | (BJJS), Loysvill   |  | evelopment Center (LYDC)  |   |
| Service Name:  | Gun Violence, ZB Cotta   |  |  |  |   |   |
| Cohort Total:  | Cohort data unavailable 3  | *  |  |  |   |   |
| Cohort Time Frame:   | N/A  |  |  |  |   |   |
| Referral County(s):  | N/A  |  |  |  |   |   |
|  |  |  |  |  |   |   |
| Feedback Report Deliv  |  |  |  |  |   |   |
| County/Probation Office  | cer(s) Involved: Joe Giffo   |  | tt Foster, Dauphi  | n  |   |   |
| 7777 979 ( )   |  | tor, York  |  |  |   |   |
| EPIS SIS(s): Lisa Frees  | e  |  |  |  |   |   |
| addresses individualize Treatment programm  The Gun Violence Graph of 8 weeks and occurviolence and shares the on victims, families, attime of the interviews curriculum in a group In the Secure Unit, it | zed treatment issues along is consistent across roup consists of informations once per week. The nem with each program and the community. The state of the community of format, because of a state of a state of the consistency of the constant of the consta | ong with a all 3 are nation col Clinical In area. The nis service residents is staffing slally to residents. | learning and cas housing you lected from var Manager ident the facilitator are is offered in Allegheny Chortage. Howe dents consider | displaying uth.  arious me ifies articled reside ZB Cottage dever, it is red approximately approxima | edia outlets. It is compriseles and videos on the ents discuss the impact of age in a closed group set to not receive the Gun Videos delivered individually priate to receive the cur | ised of 8 lessons of gun violence etting. At the violence with residents. |
|  | stics of a service found to large. Group Counseling  | oe die mos   | a su ongry relate  | u to reudi   | mg i courism.   |   |
|  | nalysis, is there a qualify  | ing supple   | mental service?  | No   |   |   |
|  | vice Type? There is no qu  | 0 11   |  |  |   |   |
|  | tal service provided? N/A  |  | ••   |  | or this Service Type:   | 30  |
|  | -  |  | ints Received:   | 30   | <b>Total Points Possible:</b>   | 35  |
| positive impact on re  |  | at programs<br>oring of qua<br>y is address  | s that deliver sen<br>ality is defined by<br>ed.   | rvice with y existence   | high quality are more likel<br>of written protocol, staff tra   | ly to have a aining, staff  |
|  |  | Total Poin   | nts Received:  | 20   | <b>Total Points Possible:</b>   | 20  |

| 3. Amount of Service: Score was derived by calculating the total number of weeks and hours received by each youth in the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP <sup>TM</sup> service categorization. Each SPEP <sup>TM</sup> service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction. Targeted duration and dosage for this service is 24 weeks, 40 hours.   |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| youth in the cohort of youth in the cohort of of received the targeted Duration or Number of Weeks for a total of received the targeted Dosage or Number of Hours for a total  |  |  |  | $\frac{N/A^*}{N/A^*} \text{ points}$ of $\frac{N/A^*}{N} \text{ points}$   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Points Received:   | N/A*   | Total Points P   | ossible:   | 20  |  |  |  |  |
|  | k level score is compiled by calculating the to<br>re above moderate risk to reoffend based of<br>were: low risk, moderate risk,   |  | ults of the YLS  | The Risk   |   |  |  |  |  |
| youth in the cohort of youth in the cohort of  | are Moderate, High, Very High YLS Risk lare High or Very High YLS Risk Level for   |  |  | $\frac{N/A^*}{N/A^*} po$   |   |  |  |  |  |
| _  | <b>Total Points Received:</b>  | N/A*   | Total Points P   |  | 25  |  |  |  |  |
|  | s been shared is strictly for information in regard to SPEP <sup>TM</sup> Performance  |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | ance Improvement is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing reduction by addressing the following the following the state of the stat |  |  | offenders.   | The service   |  |  |  |  |
| secure unit.  ii. Identify a predetermined material can be removed b. Staff Training:  i. Consider creating a writt c. Staff Supervision:  i. Develop a system for routrained to deliver the servii. Provide written feedbackiii. Specifically address the d. Organizational Response to i. Develop a policy that incintended. This can includii. Develop a system for every service of the control of the c | timeframe to review the Gun Violence in the absence of new materials being a ten training protocol that includes the intine monitoring and documentation of the control of  | curriculuidded. corporation monitoria ce evalua in Violer in, coaching ce delive | ion of routine bing through directions.  The is not deliving, etc.  Try for Gun Vice | pooster trained observations of the constant o | outdated ining. ation by staff e manner ta collection and |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>Regarding Data Collection:</li><li>a. Develop a tracking system delivered to residents indiv</li></ul>   | for dosage or number of hours residents idually.   | and staf   | f are addressin  | g Gun Vic  | plence if   |  |  |  |  |